From: ursula waverley @gmail.com>
Sent: 25 May 2023 20:59
To: Medworth <Medworth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: Issue Specific Hearing 3 (ISH3) on Environmental Matters – Part 1 – Tuesday 16 May 2023

Planning Inspectorate

Mr A. Pinto

Examining Authority

MVV Medworth Incinerator EN010110

Dear Mr Pinto,

I have viewed with interest the above session and thought I should raise a question or two, with your permission.

Ms Barnett and you rightly highlighted issues of proximity and waste availability, particularly relating to Essex/Rivenhall. As a supplement to that, could I draw your attention to two developments in Suffolk: recent County Council approval of a new V C Cooke incinerator at Benacre Road near Beccles, Suffolk; and the East Anglian Daily Times (21 September 2019) report that the SUEZ EfW plant at Great Blakenham "*May have to take more waste from further afield because it isn't able to generate as much heat as expected.*". SUEZ offered to burn some of Norfolk's waste. The residual waste as composed was not generating as much electricity *as* planned. The technology of EfW plants remains unchanged in 2023. Mr Carey stressed the composition of waste would determine burning capacity and therefore volume of waste needed. Suffolk lies within MVV's stated 2-hour/200 mile boundaries (but in reality they are looking nationwide as they enter bidding wars for subcontracted waste). Has the applicant reflected the SUEZ EfW increased capacity and the new Worlingham/Beccles approved plant in their assessment of NEED and waste availability? Has there been any assessment of similar problems at existing EfW facilities? And what are MVV's plans. not ideas or notions. to maintain electricity 'capacity' as the amount of plastic waste is reduced?

The benefits are notional at best and fictional in reality. The only NEED is MVV's quest for evermore profits regardless of detrimental environmental and community impacts.

Please reject this bad faith application.

Yours sincerely,

Dr U Waverley